

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Putent and Trademark Office of the Mark Commercial Commerci

APPLICATION NO	HUNG DAH	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKETNO	CONTRMATION NO
09 359,300	07 21 1999	MONTO H. KUMAGAI	08010137US07	2643
27194	590 03/26/2002			
HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE, LLP			ENAMINER.	
BOX 34 301 RAVENSWOOD AVE. MENLO PARK, CA 94025			LEFFERS JR, GERALD G	
			ARLUM	PAPER NUMBER
			1636 DATE MAILED 03 26 2002	27

Please find below and or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/359,300	KUMAGAI ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gerald G Leffers Jr.	1636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context of the	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>28 February 2002</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Appellant's Brief must be filed w R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	ithin the period set forth in f the appeal.				
2 The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
4 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5 ☑ The a)☑ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 45 and 58-70.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
		PRIMARY EXAMINES				
	,	e with the				

7

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendment of claim 45, step (f) raises new issues (e.g. art and 112, 1st written description).

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the terminal disclaimers filed 2/28/02 has obviated the outstanding rejections for Obviousness Double Patenting against 09/359,297; 09/359,301; 09/359,305.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicants' arguments, both in the response and in the declaration submitted by Dr. Della-Ciopa, are moot in that they are directed towards an amendment that has not been entered.